

Analytical Table of Contents

Preface to the Second Edition ix
Acknowledgments xi
Introduction xvii

I. THE PROBLEM

1. DON'T BARGAIN OVER POSITIONS
 - Arguing over positions produces unwise agreements 4
 - Arguing over positions is inefficient 5
 - Arguing over positions endangers an ongoing relationship 6
 - When there are many parties, positional bargaining is even worse 7
 - Being nice is no answer 7
 - There is an alternative 9

II. THE METHOD

2. SEPARATE THE PEOPLE FROM THE PROBLEM
 - Negotiators are people first 18
 - Every negotiator has two kinds of interests: in the substance and in the relationship 19
 - The relationship tends to become entangled with the problem 20*

3. FOCUS ON INTERESTS, NOT POSITIONS

- For a wise solution reconcile interests, not positions 40
 - Interests define the problem* 40
 - Behind opposed positions lie shared and compatible interests, as well as conflicting ones* 42
- How do you identify interests? 44
 - Ask "Why?"* 44
 - Ask "Why not?" Think about their choice* 44
 - Realize that each side has multiple interests* 47
 - The most powerful interests are basic human needs* 48
 - Make a list* 50
- Talking about interests 50
 - Make your interests come alive* 50
 - Acknowledge their interests as part of the problem* 51
 - Put the problem before your answer* 51
 - Look forward, not back* 52
 - Be concrete but flexible* 53
 - Be hard on the problem, soft on the people* 54

4. INVENT OPTIONS FOR MUTUAL GAIN

- Diagnosis* 57
- Premature judgment 57
- Searching for the single answer 58
- The assumption of a fixed pie 59
- Thinking that "solving their problem is their problem" 59
- Prescription* 60
- Separate inventing from deciding 60
 - Before brainstorming* 61
 - During brainstorming* 61

Positional bargaining puts relationship and substance in conflict 20

Separate the relationship from the substance; deal directly with the people problem 21

Perception 22

Put yourself in their shoes 23

Don't deduce their intentions from your fears 25

Don't blame them for your problem 25

Discuss each other's perceptions 26

Look for opportunities to act inconsistently with their perceptions 26

Give them a stake in the outcome by making sure they participate in the process 27

Face-saving: Make your proposals consistent with their values 28

Emotion 29

First recognize and understand emotions, theirs and yours 29

Make emotions explicit and acknowledge them as legitimate 30

Allow the other side to let off steam 31

Don't react to emotional outbursts 31

Use symbolic gestures 32

Communication 32

Listen actively and acknowledge what is being said 34

Speak to be understood 35

Speak about yourself, not about them 36

Speak for a purpose 36

Prevention works best 36

Build a working relationship 37

Face the problem, not the people 37

3. FOCUS ON INTERESTS, NOT POSITIONS

- For a wise solution reconcile interests, not positions 40
 - Interests define the problem* 40
 - Behind opposed positions lie shared and compatible interests, as well as conflicting ones* 42
- How do you identify interests? 44
 - Ask "Why?"* 44
 - Ask "Why not?" Think about their choice* 44
 - Realize that each side has multiple interests* 47
 - The most powerful interests are basic human needs* 48
 - Make a list* 50
- Talking about interests 50
 - Make your interests come alive* 50
 - Acknowledge their interests as part of the problem* 51
 - Put the problem before your answer* 51
 - Look forward, not back* 52
 - Be concrete but flexible* 53
 - Be hard on the problem, soft on the people* 54

4. INVENT OPTIONS FOR MUTUAL GAIN

- Diagnosis* 57
- Premature judgment 57
- Searching for the single answer 58
- The assumption of a fixed pie 59
- Thinking that "solving their problem is their problem" 59
- Prescription* 60
- Separate inventing from deciding 60
 - Before brainstorming* 61
 - During brainstorming* 61

Getting to Yes

192 Analytical Table of Contents

- After brainstorming* 62
- Consider brainstorming with the other side* 63
- Broaden your options 65
 - Multiply options by shuttling between the specific and the general: The Circle Chart* 66
 - Look through the eyes of different experts* 69
 - Invent agreements of different strengths* 69
 - Change the scope of a proposed agreement* 70
- Look for mutual gain 70
 - Identify shared interests* 71
 - Dovetail differing interests* 73
 - Any difference in interests?* 74
 - Different beliefs?* 74
 - Different values placed on time?* 75
 - Different forecasts?* 75
 - Differences in aversion to risk?* 75
 - Ask for their preferences* 75
- Make their decision easy 76
 - Whose shoes?* 76
 - What decision?* 77
 - Making threats is not enough* 79
- 5. INSIST ON USING OBJECTIVE CRITERIA
 - Deciding on the basis of will is costly 81
 - The case for using objective criteria 82
 - Principled negotiation produces wise agreements amicably and efficiently* 83
 - Developing objective criteria 85
 - Fair standards* 85
 - Fair procedures* 86
 - Negotiating with objective criteria 88

Frame each issue as a joint search for objective criteria 88

Ask "What's your theory?" 88

Agree first on principles 88

Reason and be open to reason 89

Never yield to pressure 90

"It's company policy" 92

III. YES, BUT . . .

6. WHAT IF THEY ARE MORE POWERFUL? (DEVELOP YOUR BATNA—BEST ALTERNATIVE TO A NEGOTIATED AGREEMENT)

Protecting yourself 97

The costs of using a bottom line 98

Know your BATNA 99

The insecurity of an unknown BATNA 100

Formulate a trip wire 101

Making the most of your assets 102

The better your BATNA, the greater your power 102

Develop your BATNA 103

Consider the other side's BATNA 105

When the other side is powerful 106

7. WHAT IF THEY WON'T PLAY? (USE NEGOTIATION JUJITSU)

Negotiation jujitsu 108

Don't attack their position, look behind it 109

Don't defend your ideas, invite criticism and advice 110

Recast an attack on you as an attack on the problem 111

Ask questions and pause 111

- Consider the one-text procedure 112
- Getting them to play: The case of Jones Realty and Frank
Turnbull 117
- The case in brief* 117
- "Please correct me if I'm wrong"* 117
- "We appreciate what you've done for us"* 118
- "Our concern is fairness"* 119
- "We would like to settle this on the basis of
independent standards, not of who can do what to
whom"* 119
- "Trust is a separate issue"* 121
- "Could I ask you a few questions to see whether my
facts are right?"* 122
- "What's the principle behind your action?"* 123
- "Let me see if I understand what you're
saying"* 123
- "Let me get back to you"* 124
- "Let me show you where I have trouble following
some of your reasoning"* 125
- "One fair solution might be. . . ."* 125
- "If we agree If we disagree"* 126
- "We'd be happy to see if we can leave when it's
most convenient for you"* 127
- "It's been a pleasure dealing with you"* 127

8. WHAT IF THEY USE DIRTY TRICKS? (TAMING THE HARD BARGAINER)

- How do you negotiate about the rules of the game? 130
- Separate the people from the problem* 131
- Focus on interests, not positions* 131
- Invent options for mutual gain* 131
- Insist on objective criteria* 131

| | |
|---|-----|
| Some common tricky tactics | 132 |
| Deliberate deception | 132 |
| <i>Phony facts</i> | 132 |
| <i>Ambiguous authority</i> | 133 |
| <i>Dubious intentions</i> | 133 |
| <i>Less than full disclosure is not the same as deception</i> | 134 |
| Psychological warfare | 135 |
| <i>Stressful situations</i> | 135 |
| <i>Personal attacks</i> | 135 |
| <i>The good-guy/bad-guy routine</i> | 136 |
| <i>Threats</i> | 136 |
| Positional pressure tactics | 138 |
| <i>Refusal to negotiate</i> | 138 |
| <i>Extreme demands</i> | 139 |
| <i>Escalating demands</i> | 139 |
| <i>Lock-in tactics</i> | 140 |
| <i>Hardhearted partner</i> | 141 |
| <i>A calculated delay</i> | 141 |
| <i>"Take it or leave it"</i> | 141 |
| Don't be a victim | 142 |

IV. IN CONCLUSION

| | |
|--------------------------|-----|
| You knew it all the time | 147 |
| Learn from doing | 147 |
| "Winning" | 148 |

V. TEN QUESTIONS PEOPLE ASK ABOUT GETTING TO YES

| | |
|--|-----|
| <i>Questions About Fairness and "Principled" Negotiation</i> | 151 |
|--|-----|

Getting to Yes

196 Analytical Table of Contents

Question 1: "Does positional bargaining *ever* make sense?" 151

How important is it to avoid an arbitrary outcome? 151

How complex are the issues? 152

How important is it to maintain a good working relationship? 152

What are the other side's expectations, and how hard would they be to change? 152

Where are you in the negotiation? 153

Question 2: "What if the other side believes in a different standard of fairness?" 153

Agreement on the "best" standard is not necessary 154

Question 3: "Should I be fair if I don't have to be?" 154

How much is the difference worth to you? 155

Will the unfair result be durable? 156

What damage might the unfair result cause to this or other relationships? 156

Will your conscience bother you? 156

Questions About Dealing with People 157

Question 4: "What do I do if the people *are* the problem?" 157

Build a working relationship independent of agreement or disagreement 157

Negotiate the relationship 159

Distinguish how you treat them from how they treat you 159

Deal rationally with apparent irrationality 160

Question 5: "Should I negotiate even with terrorists or someone like Hitler? When does it make sense *not* to negotiate?" 161

- Negotiate with terrorists?* 161
- Negotiate with someone like Hitler?* 163
- Negotiate where people are acting out of religious conviction?* 164
- When does it make sense not to negotiate?* 164
- Question 6: "How should I adjust my negotiating approach to account for differences of personality, gender, culture, and so on?" 166
- Get in step* 166
- Adapt our general advice to the specific situation* 167
- Pay attention to differences of belief and custom, but avoid stereotyping individuals* 167
- Question your assumptions; listen actively* 168
- Questions About Tactics* 168
- Question 7: "How do I decide things like 'Where should we meet?' 'Who should make the first offer?' and 'How high should I start?'" 168
- Where should we meet?* 168
- Who should make the first offer?* 169
- How high should I start?* 169
- Strategy depends on preparation* 170
- Question 8: "Concretely, how do I move from inventing options to making commitments?" 171
- Think about closure from the beginning* 171
- Consider crafting a framework agreement* 171
- Move toward commitment gradually* 172
- Be persistent in pursuing your interests, but not rigid in pursuing any particular solution* 173
- Make an offer* 174
- Be generous at the end* 174

Getting to Yes

198 Analytical Table of Contents

Question 9: "How do I try out these ideas without taking too much risk?" 175

Start small 175

Make an investment 175

Review your performance 175

Prepare! 176

Questions About Power 177

Question 10: "Can the way I negotiate really make a difference if the other side is more powerful?" And "How do I enhance *my* negotiating power?" 177

Some things you can't get 177

How you negotiate makes a big difference 177

"Resources" are not the same as "negotiation power" 178

Don't ask "Who's more powerful?" 178

There are many sources of negotiation power 179

There is power in developing a good working relationship between the people negotiating 179

There is power in understanding interests 181

There is power in inventing an elegant option 182

There is power in using external standards of legitimacy 183

There is power in developing a good BATNA 183

There is power in making a carefully crafted commitment 184

Clarify what you will do 184

Consider committing to what you will not do 185

Clarify what you want them to do 186

Make the most of your potential power 186